

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Patent Application

Inventor(s): Stefan M. van den Oord and Mark H. Smit
SC/Serial No.: 09/933,493
Confirm. No.: 6164
Filed: August 20, 2001
Title: SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR
ASYNCHRONOUS CLIENT SERVER SESSION
COMMUNICATION

PATENT APPLICATION

Art Unit: 2152

Customer No. 23910

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited in the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231, on November 12, 2002.

(Attorney Signature)

Karl Kenna, Reg. No. 45,445

Signature Date: November 12, 2002

RECEIVED

NOV 18 2002

Technology Center 2100

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.56

Commissioner for Patents
Group Art Unit 2152
Washington, DC 20231

Sir:

It is requested that the information identified in this statement be considered by the Examiner and made of record in the above-identified application. This statement is not intended to represent that a search has been made or that the information cited in the statement is, or is considered to be, material to patentability as defined in 37 C.F.R. §1.56. If this is a continuation, divisional or continuation-in-part application, it is understood that the Examiner will consider all information which was considered by the Office in a parent application. MPEP §609. Such information therefore is not listed herein unless it is desired that the information be printed on a patent issuing from the subject application.

Enclosed with this statement are the following:

- ✓ Form PTO-1449. The Examiner is requested to initial the form and return it to the undersigned in accordance with M.P.E.P. §609.
- ✓ A copy of each cited document as required by 37 C.F.R. §1.98. Copies are not submitted of documents previously submitted by the applicant in a parent application from which benefit under 35 U.S.C. §120 is claimed, 37 C.F.R. §1.98(d)(1), with an information disclosure statement submitted in the parent application which complies with the Sept. 8, 2000 or subsequent revision of 37 C.F.R. §1.98(a-c). If any of the cited/submitted documents is in a foreign language, a concise explanation of relevance is provided pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.98(a)(3)(i). For foreign language documents cited

11/18/2002 AADOF01 00000056 061325 09933493

01 FC:1806 180.00 CH

- 1 -

Attorney Docket No.: MOBJ-01000US0
kfk/mobj/1000/1000us0.ids.wpd

104.001:100101
11/12/02-15:15

in a search report by a foreign patent office, the requirement for a concise explanation of relevance is satisfied by the submission herewith of an English language version of the search report. MPEP §609A(3). If a written English-language translation of a non-English language document, or portion thereof, is within the possession, custody or control of, or is readily available to any individual designated in §1.56(c), a copy of the translation accompanies this statement, 37 C.F.R. §1.98(a)(3)(ii), and satisfies the requirement for a concise explanation of relevance, MPEP §609A(3).

- ✓ **PTA Statement under 37 C.F.R. §1.704(d).** Each item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart application and this communication was not received by any individual designated in §1.56(c) more than thirty days prior to the filing of the information disclosure statement.

This statement should be considered because:

- ✓ **37 C.F.R. §1.97(b).** This statement qualifies under 37 C.F.R. §1.97, subsection (b) because:

- (1) It is being filed within three months of the filing date of an application other than a continued prosecution application under § 1.53(d);
-- OR --
- (2) It is being filed within 3 months of entry of a national stage;
-- OR --
- (3) It is being filed before the mailing date of the first Office Action on the merits,
-- OR --
- (4) It is being filed before the mailing date of the first Office Action after the filing of a Request for Continued Examination under 37 C.F.R. §1.114.

- **37 C.F.R. §1.97(c).** Although it may not qualify under subsection (b), this statement qualifies under 37 C.F.R. §1.97, subsection (c) because:

- (1) It is being filed before the mailing date of a FINAL office action, a Notice of Allowance, or an action that otherwise closes prosecution in the subject application, whichever occurs first.

-- AND (check at least one of the following) --

- (1) It is accompanied by a STATEMENT as set forth in 37 C.F.R. §1.97(e).
-- OR --
— (2) It is accompanied by the \$180 fee set forth in 37 C.F.R. §1.17(p).

- **37 C.F.R. §1.97(d).** Although it may not qualify under subsection (b) or (c), this statement qualifies under 37 C.F.R. §1.97, subsection (d) because:

- (1) It is being filed on or before payment of the issue fee;
-- AND --
- (2) It is accompanied by a STATEMENT as set forth in 37 C.F.R. §1.97(e);
-- AND --


(3) It is accompanied by the \$180 fee set forth in 37 C.F.R. §1.17(p).

✓ **Fee Authorization.** The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge underpayment of any additional fees or credit any overpayment associated with this communication to Deposit Account No. 06-1325. A duplicate copy of this authorization is enclosed.


Respectfully submitted,

FLIESLER DUBB MEYER & LOVEJOY LLP

Date: November 12, 2002

By: 
Karl Kenna
Reg. No. 45,445

FLIESLER DUBB MEYER & LOVEJOY LLP
Four Embarcadero Center, Fourth Floor
San Francisco, California 94111-4156
Telephone (415) 362-3800



Form PTO-1449 (Substitute)	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE	Attorney Docket Number MOBJ-01000US0	Serial/Patent Number 09/933,493
		Applicant/Patent Owner Stefan M. van den Oord and Mark H. Smit	
		Filing/Issue Date August 20, 2001	Group Art Unit 2152

**INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
BY APPLICANT**
(Use several sheets if necessary)

U.S. PATENTS

Examiner Initial		Patent Number	Issue Date	First Named Inventor	Class	Subclass	Filing Date
	1.	5,581,753	12/03/96	Terry et al.	395	617	09/28/94
	2.	5,634,127	05/27/97	Cloud et al.	395	680	11/30/94
	3.	5,701,461	12/23/97	Dalal et al.	395	604	01/27/95
	4.	5,928,335	07/27/99	Morita	709	303	10/29/97
	5.	6,003,085	12/14/99	Ratner et al.	709	227	12/14/99
	6.	6,067,514	05/23/00	Chen	704	235	06/23/98
	7.	6,092,100	07/18/00	Berstis et al.	709	203	11/21/97
	8.	6,247,043 B1	06/12/01	Bates et al.	709	200	06/11/98

U.S. PATENT PUBLICATIONS

Examiner Initial		Patent Application Publication Number	Publication Date	Applicant
				NOV 18 2002 Technology Center 2100

PENDING U.S. PATENT APPLICATIONS

Examiner Initial		Application Number	Filing Date	First Named Inventor	Petition to Expunge? Yes No

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

Examiner Initial		Document Number	Publication Date	Country	Class	Subclass	Translation Yes No

OTHER DOCUMENTS (Include author (if any), title, publisher and place of publication, date and pertinent pages)

OTHER DOCUMENTS (Include author (if any), title, publisher and place of publication, date and pertinent pages)	
Examiner	Date Considered
<p>*EXAMINER: Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.</p> <hr/> <p>*1 = Copy not submitted because it was submitted in prior application SN __/__, filed ____, 20__, relied on under 35 USC §120.</p> <p>*2 = Copy not submitted because it was submitted in prior application SN __/__, filed ____, 20__, relied on under 35 USC §120.</p>	

